

some people believe that it is good to share as much information as possible in scientific research, business and academic world. Others believe that some information is too important or too valuable to be shared freely.

Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

Nowadays the most greatest competition between powerful governments is for scientific achievements. Needless to say that knowledge is power, it makes money for people. The monopoly of science is the preference of many countries. Many people believe that modern wars are about knowledge and scientific data, so sharing information freely is a controversial issue in scientific, political and economic assemblies.

There are people who think it is incontestable fact that In this era of freedom of speech and democracy, restricting information seems quite irrational. Global progress depends on the collaboration of all scientists and nations by sharing the last scientific information. When we speak about global village, there is no barrier for partnership in aspect of knowledge and science. Moreover, it is not fair or humanitarian-humane to sell some vital information to poor or undeveloped countries. For example the cases which are in-related with-to the health or diseases.

On the other hand, some people strongly disagree with the opinion of sharing information freely. They point out some reasons like the high costs are spent on scientific research processes. Furthermore, they believe that being available of some sensitive scientific information can be in line with inhuman goals. Consequently, the global peace will be at risk. There are many evidence for misuse of science in the world history, for example surely all of us know about both the advantages of dynamite and its uncontrollable catastrophic drawbacks. Also, the slaughter-disastrous results of handing over the technology to terrorist group is a serious concern of all.

From my point of view, there is ample reason to support the view of distributing information freely, but the question is under what circumstance these valuable and important data should be accessible freely for all. I believe stricter policies are needed to preserve the economic benefit of scientists and also prevent the non-peaceful use of data. Maybe civil society organizations could supervise and offer suitable solutions in this context.

In conclusion, information has limited value when they are curbed and not shared with great minds or not implemented in all human life. For the true global progress, restriction on valuable and vital information should be debarred and we should let people know the truth instead of keeping them in dark and dependency.